



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,617	0	1/27/2004	Michael Dieudonne	31030288 US02/871-011664-	3384
2512	7590	10/19/2006	EXAMINER		NER
PERMAN (& GREEN	1	SOBUTKA, PHILIP		
425 POST R FAIRFIELD		224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PAIRPIELD	, C1 0002	· T		2618	
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB adde of this communication, even if action is non-final. The except for formal matter actions are action in action formal matter actions.	reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Philip J. Sobutka Pears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet s	ith the correspondence address IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any	
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE and a date of this communication, even if action is non-final. The except for formal matter application and the except for formal matter application, 1935 C.D.	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. reply be timely filed BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any	
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB adde of this communication, even if action is non-final. The except for formal matter actions are action in action formal matter actions.	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any	
ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE of date of this communication, even if action is non-final. The except for formal matter application is particular.	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
action is non-final. nce except for formal matt Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	• •	
action is non-final. nce except for formal matt Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	• •	
wn from consideration.		
wn from consideration.		
a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o drawing(s) be held in abeyar ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Paper No(s 5) Notice of Ir	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
	drawing(s) be held in abeyardion is required if the drawing saminer. Note the attached priority under 35 U.S.C. § shave been received. If the shave been received in A crity documents have been us (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Of the certified copies not shave been the copies of the certified copies of t	r election requirement. Tr. Tr. Tr. Tr. Tr. Tr. Tr. T

Application/Control Number: 10/766,617 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Little et al (GB 2350972) in view of Miller (US 6,990,338).

Little teaches a method of testing user equipment for operational suitability with an in-flight communication system, the method comprising the steps of:

identifying an operator of said user equipment (Little see page 8, line 15 – page 9, lines 11),

testing said user equipment for a set of predetermined parameters (Little see page 12. lines 1-12, page 13, lines 1-7),

communicating said Pass or Fail status and said operator identity to said in-flight communication system (Little see page 13, lines 18-21).

Little lacks a teaching of comparing said test results with a predefined criteria to determine a Pass or Fail status of said user equipment.

Miller teaches a method of testing user equipment for suitability with an in floight communication system in which test results are compared with a predefined criteria to determine if the device can be used or not (Miller see especially column 4, lines 30-45, column 5, line 25 – column 7, line 66, Tables 1-3). Miller teaches that this assures

Application/Control Number: 10/766,617

. . . .

Art Unit: 2618

compliance with applicable radiation levels (Miller see especially column 1 line 45 – column 2, line 5, column 10, lines 20-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Little to compare the test results to predefined criteria in order to ensure that the only devices allowed to operate confirmed to applicable standards as taught by Miller.

As to claim 2, Little in view of Miller teaches the method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said user equipment is a mobile phone (Little see especially page 8, lines 1-15).

As to claim 3, Little in view of Miller teaches the method as claims in Claim 2, wherein said set of predetermined parameters includes user equipment power level (Little see especially page 12 line 18 – page 13, line 7).

As to claim 4, Little in view of Miller teaches the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the set of predefined parameters includes at least one of adjacent channel leakage and waveform quality (note that Little teaches testing and adjusting to minimize co-channel interference, i.e. leakage, while maintaining reliable communication, i.e. quality, see page 12, lines 1-7, 19-22).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Manssen (US 5,878,209) and Kirkpatrick (US 5,933,776) have been cited to show other mobile phone test arrangements.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,617

Art Unit: 2618

Gresham et al (US 2002/0160773) has been cited to show another arrangements for using mobile devices on platforms such as airplanes.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J Sobutka whose telephone number is 571-272-7887. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4711.

5. The central fax phone number for the Office is 571-273-8300.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,617

Art Unit: 2618

10/4/0

PHILIP J. SOBUTKA PATENT EXAMINER

Philip J Sobutka

(571) 272-7887